

William I. Edlund, State Bar No. 25013
 Robert H. Bunzel, State Bar No. 99395
 Howard I. Miller, State Bar No. 251878
 BARTKO, ZANKEL, TARRANT & MILLER
 A Professional Corporation
 900 Front Street, Suite 300
 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 956-1900
 Facsimile: (415) 956-1152

Attorneys for Plaintiffs KEVIN MARTIN,
 DAVID NORRIS and FRANK STONE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION

KEVIN MARTIN, DAVID NORRIS, FRANK
 STONE, individually and on behalf of all other
 similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER &
 SMITH, INCORPORATED, MERRILL
 LYNCH & CO., INC., BRIAN RILEY and
 DOES One through Twenty,

Defendants.

Case No. 10-04020 CW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH
 PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT
 BRIAN RILEY**

Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken

Complaint Filed: August 6, 2010
 Trial Date: None Set

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Plaintiffs Kevin Martin, David Norris and Frank Stone, through their counsel
 ("plaintiffs"), and defendant Brian Riley, through his counsel ("Riley"), stipulate as follows:

1. Riley was named as a defendant in this action, originally filed in state court
 and removed to this Court on September 8, 2010.

2. Riley and his counsel have requested that he be dismissed from this action
 with prejudice, and plaintiffs agree to dismiss Riley with prejudice, provided that (a) discovery
 directed to Riley and any required attendance at deposition, pretrial or trial and compliance with

1 the Federal Rules may be obtained through his counsel as if Riley remained a named party; (b) it
 2 will not be relevant or referred to in further proceedings in this matter that Riley was initially
 3 named as a party and later dismissed; (c) Riley through his authorized counsel hereby stipulates
 4 that he has not been injured in any way by having been a named party in this case; and (d)
 5 defendants Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated and Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.
 6 agree that all acts of Riley relevant to this case were taken by Riley in the course and scope of his
 7 employment by Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated.

8 3. Dismissal is as to Riley only and not as to defendants Merrill Lynch, Pierce,
 9 Fenner & Smith, Incorporated or Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.

10 4. Riley shall bear his own attorney's fees and costs in connection with this
 11 case and this Dismissal, and the remaining defendants will not attempt to reduce or segregate any
 12 of plaintiffs' attorney's fees and costs as related to prosecution of the action against Riley.

13 5. Defendants Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated and
 14 Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. agree to be bound by and join in this Stipulation.

15 SO STIPULATED.

16 DATED: May 24, 2011

BARTKO, ZANKEL, TARRANT & MILLER
 A Professional Corporation

17 By /s/Robert H. Bunzel
 18 Robert H. Bunzel
 19 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 20 KEVIN MARTIN, DAVID NORRIS and FRANK
 21 STONE

1 DATED: May 24, 2011

2 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON

3
4 By /s/Victoria L. Boesch
5 Victoria L. Boesch
6 Attorneys for Defendants
7 MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH,
8 INCORPORATED, MERRILL LYNCH & CO.,
9 INC., and BRIAN RILEY

10 Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, the Court dismisses the claims against
11 defendant Brian Riley with prejudice.

12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 DATED: May 25, 2011

15
16 
17 CLAUDIA WILKEN
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

BARTKOZANKEL

Bartko-Zankel-Gorman-Miller, Lovitt & Horne, Inc. of Counsel

900 Front Street, Suite 300

San Francisco, CA 94111

Phone (415) 956-1900 • Fax (415) 956-1152